



NOTIFICATION

In pursuance with the Resolutions of the XXVth Senate Meeting held on 26th July 2021, this is to notify to all concerned about the following amendments in the regulations of the Institute as under:

a) Amendments in the Regulations for Ph.D Sponsored Category vide Resolution No R7(a)/SENATE-XXV/21

Sponsored Category of Ph.D Scholars:

- a) Sponsored (Full Time)
- b) Sponsored (Part Time)

Recognized R&D organizations, national institutions, other universities, government organizations or industries/any other agency may sponsor research scholars. The candidates with self-sponsorship, TEQIP sponsorship or from any other scheme like ICCR, Study in India, etc., may also take Ph.D admission under sponsored category. They shall be admitted through the normal process and they shall not be entitled to any fellowship/assistantship from the Institute. They shall work full time for the Ph.D. courses/research.

b) Inclusion of Programme Evaluation Committees (UGPEC & PGPEC) in the Regulations vide Resolution Number R7(b)/SENATE-XXV/21

i) Responsibility:

The Committees shall analyze the examination results in respect of each course under the concerned Programme and provide suggestions to ensure fairness and transparency in grading before the declaration of semester results.

ii) Composition:

| UG-Programme Evaluation Committee (UGPEC) | | PG-Programme Evaluation Committee (PGPEC) | |
|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| Dean (AA) | Chairperson | Dean (AA) | Chairperson |
| Dean (SW) | Member | Dean (SW) | Member |
| Chairman, IQAC | Member | Chairman, IQAC | Member |
| Sr. Faculties of Departmental Research Groups (<i>to be nominated by the HoDs</i>) | Members | Sr. Faculties of Departmental Research Groups (<i>to be nominated by the HoDs</i>) | Members |
| PiC-UG (AA) | Convenor | PiC-PG & R (AA) | Convenor |

The Senior Faculties nominated by the HoDs should not appear in both the PECs.

Term of the Committee Members shall be two (2) Academic Years.



c) PG Project Extension Guidelines vide Resolution Number R8(c)/SENATE-XXV/21

- i) If the project work is extended for a short duration of 1 or 1.5 months, then his/her project work shall be evaluated by inviting the same external examiner and the result declaration should be made along with the Institute Summer Term/Special Exam Result which is notified in the Academic Calendar. The scholar may be permitted to receive the scholarship up-to the maximum period of 2 (two) years from the date of his joining the programme.
 - ii) If the project is extended beyond 1.5 months, then the scholar must register the same course by paying the semester fee. The project work shall be evaluated, and the result shall be processed to declare in the next semester. During this additional Semester, the student shall not be paid any scholarship.
 - iii) If a student joins in a job before the completion of the project work, then the duration of the Programme may be extended by 1.5 times the remaining number of days or by a maximum of one semester upon approval of the Competent Authority.
- The merit of above-mentioned cases was analyzed by DAC as well as the APC and the recommendations were placed before the Senate. The Senate after due deliberation on points i) to iii) above approved the proposal.

d) Composition and Workflow of Doctoral Committee vide Resolution Number R8(d)/SENATE-XXV/21

i) DC Members to be nominated by Supervisor:

- i. Supervisor, Convener
- ii. Co-supervisor, Member 1
- iii. A faculty member (concerned research group of the Department), Member 2
- iv. One faculty member, from an allied department/centre, Member 3

Member to be nominated by Dean (AA):

- v. Faculty member, from other department/centre, Member 4 (*panel of 3 names to be provided by the HoD*)
- vi. A senior faculty member, Chairman

ii) Workflow of DC (from Ph.D Registration Seminar till Defense of Ph.D Thesis)

1. If the research work carried by the scholar is satisfied during the pre-submission seminar, the DC shall recommend for Thesis submission with a set of examiners (Indian and Foreign).
2. After receiving the reports from the thesis examiners, the Academic Section follows necessary process to take the approval from the Competent Authority. Upon approval, the reports are shared with DC.
3. The Supervisor shall convene a meeting with the DC members for analyzing the reports received from the examiners for any major or minor corrections as suggested or any other adverse recommendation. The meeting shall be minuted and the same should be shared with the Dean (AA). Based on the Thesis examination reports, the DC may specify the time-period required to revise the Thesis by the scholar.
4. Dean (AA) after receiving the minutes of DC, the necessary recommendations (if corrections/modifications raised by the DC based on the examiner reports) shall communicate



राष्ट्रीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान मेघालय
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MEGHALAYA
(An Institute of National Importance under MHRD)

- to the concerned Supervisor. If there is no correction/modification required based on the recommendation of DC, the Supervisor may initiate the process for constitution of ODEC.
5. If the corrections/modifications are required as per the recommendation of DC, the Supervisor shall then communicate with the scholar to make the necessary corrections/modifications across the Thesis.
 6. After the scholar submits the corrected thesis along with compliance report, the Supervisor shall convene another meeting with the DC members for further analysis and recommendation. Based on the recommendation of DC, the ODEC may be constituted for conducting Thesis Defense Examination.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Asst. Registrar (AA)

Copy to:

1. AR (DR), for kind information of the Director.
2. All Deans, for kind information.
3. All HoDs, for information and needful.
4. PICs, AA for information and needful.
5. All Faculties, for information.
6. E-notice.
7. Concerned File